	A.	G.
(*d*) Chikmagalur ..	58	39
Kadur ..	8,432	14
Tarikere ..	1,354	20
Koppa ..	49	39
Mudigere ..	32	2
Narasimharaja-pura ..	29	10
Total ..	9,957	4

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.— (ಎ) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಕಡೂರಿಗೆ 10 ಸಾವಿರ ಎಕರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇದೇನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನೋ, ಅಥವಾ ಕಾವಲು ಜಮೀನೋ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡೂ ಸೇರಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.— ಜಮೀನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟನಂತರ 5 ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಕಳೆದ ತರುವಾಯವೂ ಅದನ್ನು ಇನ್ನೂ ಖಾಯಂ ಮಾಡದೆ ಇರಲು ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲವು ಜಮೀನುಗಳು ಇನ್ನೂ ರೆವೆನ್ಯೂ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದು ಇನ್ನೂ ಕರೆಸ್‌ಪಾಂಡೆನ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ಕೊಂಚ ನಿಧಾನವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.—ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಜಮಾಬಂದಿ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೀಗೆ 10-12 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ಖಾಯಂ ಆಗದೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕವುಗಳನ್ನು ಖಾಯಂ ಮಾಡದೆಯಿರಲು ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗೆ 10-12ವರ್ಷಗಳಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.—ಹೋಗಲಿ, 6-8 ವರ್ಷಗಳಾದರೂ ಆಗಿವೆ. ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಖಾಯಂ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಎಷ್ಟು ಸಲ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೂಡಬೇಕು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.— ಒಂದು ಸಾರ್ತಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಸಾಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.—ಇದೇ ರೀತಿ ಬೇರೆ ಕಡೆ ಆಗಿರುವುದನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದರೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಬಂದೋಬಸ್ತು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.— ಖಂಡಿತವಾಗಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.—ಕಡೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಯ್ಯನಕೆರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ 50 ಜನರು ಮನೆ ಕಟ್ಟುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ಪಡೆದು ಮನೆಕಟ್ಟಿ ಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಜಮೀನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೆಂದು ನೆನಪು.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ —ಆ ರೈತರು ಸಾಲಸೋಲಗಳನ್ನುಮಾಡಿ ಮನೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡು ವಾಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವಾಗ, ಈ ಹೊತ್ತು ಅವರುಗಳನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಜಮೀನು ಕತ್ತು ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವುದನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಸಹಾನುಭೂತಿಯಿಂದ ಇತ್ಯರ್ಥ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—Release ಆಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಇತ್ಯರ್ಥ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ, ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION AND ANSWER.

Closing down the Agricultural College at Hebbal.

Q.—21. Sri R. K. PRASAD (Bangarpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether there is an active proposal to close down the Agricultural College at Hebbal and shift the same to Dharwar ;

(*b*) whether the subject was discussed in the concerned Technical Committee and has a decision been arrived at ;

(*c*) what are the special advantages for an Agricultural College at Dharwar ;

(*d*) what are the disadvantages that Government of Mysore at present are labouring under at Hebbal ;

(*e*) the amount of grant they propose to give to Dharwar Agricultural College to accommodate Mysore students ;

(*f*) whether they have taken any preliminary steps in the direction of closing down the Agricultural College at Hebbal and shifting the same to Dharwar. If so, whether they will place a copy of correspondence on the table of this House ?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(*a*) Shifting of Agricultural College at Hebbal to Visvesvaraya Canal Farm area, Mandya, is under consideration of Government. Whether there is an absolute need for an Agricultural College in the State is also under consideration of Government.

There is no proposal to shift the Agricultural College to Dharwar.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Does not arise.

(SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.)

(*d*) Agricultural bias and the necessary environment are not available at Hebbal. The area available is not sufficient for imparting practical education. Hebbal has more urban atmosphere rather than rural.

(*e*) and (*f*) Does not arise in view of the reply furnished to (*a*).

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಸಾದ್.—(b) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ 'yes' ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅಂದರೆ ಏನಾದರೂ decisionನ್ನಿಗೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದೀರಾ ? ಆ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲವಲ್ಲ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—The question was discussed in that particular meeting and no decision has yet been taken. It is yet in the stage of correspondence and the Government have not taken any decision in the matter.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಸಾದ್.—(ಸಿ) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ 'does not arise' ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ, ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಿರುವ ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರವನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯಮುಂದಿಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ? Does not arise ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—As a matter of fact, I have said it does arise.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಸಾದ್.—ಟೆಕ್ನಿಕಲ್ ಕಮಿಟಿಯು ಯಾವ proposals ನ್ನು discuss ಮಾಡಿತು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.— ಆ ಕಮಿಟಿಯು ಅಗ್ರಿಕಲ್ಚರಲ್ ಕಾಲೇಜು prospects ಏನು, ಈ ಹೊತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸ ಆ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯೇನು, ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಗೆ ಉತ್ತಮಪಡಿಸಬೇಕು, ಜನರು ಆ ಕಾಲೇಜಿಗೆ ಬರುವುದು ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ಎಂಬುದೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿತು. ಇದಾದಮೇಲೆ, ಹಿಂದೆ ಇದೇ ಅಗ್ರಿಕಲ್ಚರಲ್ ಕಾಲೇಜ್ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ನೇಮಕವಾಗಿದ್ದ ಕಮಿಟಿಯು, ಅಗ್ರಿಕಲ್ಚರಲ್ ಕಾಲೇಜು ಎಲ್ಲಿ locate ಆಗಬೇಕೆಂಬ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತಾ Rural biasಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿರುವಂತಿರುವ ಮಾಡ್ಯ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿತ್ತು. ಹಣ ಕಾಸಿನ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ತಾತ್ಕಾಲಿಕವಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪಕಾಲ ಮುಂದೆ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಮತ್ತೆ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡು, ಅದರ prospectsನ್ನು ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ improve ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಪರ್ಯಾಲೋಚನೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿತು. ಕಾಲೇಜನ್ನು ಎಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬುದೂ ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿತ್ತು.

For financial consideration the proposal was delayed for some time by Government. Then it was taken up. Incidentally it was also thought that, as the results are not very very encouraging in view of the admissions, we might close the College and send some of the students with scholarships outside, spending the same amount. All these were discussed. No decision was taken.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಸಾದ್.—ಈ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯದ ಕಾಲೇಜನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ವರ್ಷವಾಯಿತು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಬಹುಶಃ 1946 ರಲ್ಲಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಸಾದ್.—ಆರೇಳು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಗೊಮ್ಮೆ ಹೀಗೆ decisions ನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ನಷ್ಟವಲ್ಲವೆ ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—We have been finding that the results are not very very encouraging. The prospects are not bright and if you look at the number of admissions and even applications, one can see that it has not attracted as much attention as it ought to. The prospects are not bright. In view of all that, Government were seriously considering whether it would be advisable on their part to spend annually Rs. 2 or 3 lakhs for the institution.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಸಾದ್.—ಅಗ್ರಿಕಲ್ಚರಲ್ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನಿಂದ ಪಾಸಾದ ಗ್ರಾಜ್ಯುಯೇಟುಗಳಿಗೆ Security of jobs ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Government cannot guarantee any security for any job either for the Agricultural College or for any other College, as a matter of fact.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಸಾದ್.—ಅಗ್ರಿಕಲ್ಚರಲ್ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಗ್ರಾಜುಯೇಟ್‌ಗಳಿಗೆ special encouragement ಏನಾದರೂ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—If it is by way of encouragement to Government service, I cannot promise. If any agricultural graduate wants to come forward to settle on land, Government will go the whole hog to accommodate him.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಸಾದ್.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಧಾರವಾಡದ ಕಾಲೇಜಿಗೆ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸುವ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಕಾಲೇಜನ್ನು ಮುಚ್ಚಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟರೆ, ಹಾಗೆ ಮುಚ್ಚುವ ಮೊದಲು ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಸಭೆಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಗಿಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—We have not taken any decision so far.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಸಾದ್.—It is under consideration ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಲ್ಲಾ, ಈಗಿರುವ proposals ಏನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ...—ಅಗ್ರಿಕಲ್ಚರಲ್ ಕಾಲೇಜನ್ನು ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಪ್ರದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಬೇಕೇ ಬೇಡವೇ, ವರ್ಗ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆ, ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸುವುದು ಸೂಕ್ತವೇ—ಇವೆಲ್ಲಾ ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಸಾದ್.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನು ಹೇಳುವುದು, ತಾವು final decision ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೊದಲು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I cannot answer that question.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Will the Government be pleased to state whether the present education reforms are modelled with agriculture as the background ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That is what is envisaged.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—In that event, do not the Government hope that there will be many more coming forward for this education at least in the distant future, though not in the near future ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I do not know what the future holds in its womb. For the present, the prospects are not bright.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—In any case, if agriculture is the background for future education, does the Hon'ble Minister feel that this is the stage for abolition of the Agricultural College ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I have not taken any decision as I have told you. What the Education Reforms Committee contemplates will also be taken into consideration before any final decision is arrived at.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Will the Government give an assurance that even the thought of abolishing the Agricultural College will be dropped immediately ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—How can any thought be dropped ?

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know whether I heard the Minister aright when he said that the Committee, which has been appointed for suggesting a suitable site for the location of the College, has reported that the College should be shifted to the V. C. Farm ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I want to make the position clear because I know the Hon'ble Member was a member of that Committee.

On the report I have got, it was decided on 21st May 1951 that the consideration of shifting the College to Mandya be deferred but I have not got the actual report of the Committee.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Is it not a fact that the Committee actually reported to the Government that the Agricultural College should be allowed to remain at Bangalore as it remains at present ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I want notice for that. My remark was based on the consideration at the hands of the Government at one stage in 1951 that the College might be shifted to V. C. Farm.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know whether it is not a fact that Government have actually passed orders ? I cannot give the date to the Hon'ble Minister. Is it not a fact that Government have actually passed orders to the effect that the College be allowed to remain in Bangalore for the present ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I want notice for that.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know what was the particular order that the Minister was referring to as having been made in May 1951 ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Then in 1951 the question was before the Government whether this College might be shifted to Mandya, and for financial considerations it was deferred on 21st May 1951 as my note goes. So far as the Committee's Report is concerned, I have not got it here.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Are the Government aware that the Coimbatore Agricultural College, for instance, levies extremely heavy fees for non-Madrasi students ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Yes.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Is it not a fact that a similar state of things exists in Dharwar College and if so, would it be possible for Government to incur extra expenditure by allowing the students to undergo the course elsewhere than in Mysore State?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—We will consider all that when the question is taken up. At the moment I only state that what the Committee considered. The Committee's consideration is quite different from Government taking any decision. Now I am thankful to the Hon'ble Members for the suggestions they have made. All these will be borne in mind when we examine the question.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Regarding the shifting of the College to V. C. Farm or any other place, may I ask whether the Government will find time to look into the actual report of the Committee appointed by the Government previously in 1949 before they act upon any of the conclusions to which reference has been made?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That also will be borne in mind.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಮುಸಿಯಪ್ಪ.—Agricultural Industry ಯೆಂಬುದು ದೇಶದ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ದೇಶದ ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯವನ್ನು ಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೂಲಭೂತವಾದ industry ಯಲ್ಲವೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That needs no answer.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಮುಸಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಉದ್ದೇಶವನ್ನು ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಪಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯದ ಕಾಲೇಜು ಅತ್ಯಾವಶ್ಯಕವಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I cannot answer. The Agricultural College from my point of view should serve the purpose for which it is meant. That it is not serving so to-day is the only grievance.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಮುಸಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಅದಕ್ಕೇನು ಕಾರಣವೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು investigate ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಕಾರಣವೇನೆಂದರೆ, ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ Response ಇಲ್ಲ, ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಂದ Response ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಮುಸಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗೆ Response ಬರದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯದ ಕಾಲೇಜಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ Prospects ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣಗಳೇನೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಯಲು enquiry ಕಮಿಟಿಯನ್ನೇನಾದರೂ ಮಾಡಿ ತಿಳಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—The only thing as I find is that the agricultural graduates are not finding their prospects better in Government service. That is one of the reasons why they are not coming forward.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Questions are over. The Hon'ble Home Minister wants to make some statement regarding Question No. 606.

Statement by Home Minister on Question No. 606:

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—When I was answering supplementaries to question No. 606 tabled by Sri Huchmasthy Gowda, the Hon'ble Member from Periyapatna made a statement to my regret that this Government for political reasons have not given licence to the mill in question which is referred to in answer (*e*), that is, rice mill in Manchanahally, Krishnarajanagar Taluk. You are pleased to give me this occasion to explain the circumstances that led to the not granting of licence this year to this mill and my only purpose now is to show the circumstances ....

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it by way of clarification or correction?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clarification. I ought to have allowed him to answer then alone, but I prevented him from answering with a view to avoid interruption.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—On 11th November 1952 Sri M. K. Ere Gowda, Proprietor of that Mill, gave a petition to me praying—to use his own words—to allow him to hull the paddy that is stored in his rice mill and to permit him to store paddy in the coming acquisition period pending the issue of a licence. Then that petition was referred to the Director of Food Supplies. The Director of Food Supplies in his report dated 12th December 1952 informed the Government that this rice mill had not

obtained any licence as required under the Act; that is under the Act called the Mysore Rice Control Order, 1951. That is No. 1.

Then he also informed the Government that out of the paddy that was allowed to be stocked there unauthorisedly, that is, without permission, 1,439 pallas of paddy were hulled by the rice mill without a licence on the plea that deterioration in the stock had set in and further he informed the Government that when the stock was verified on 11th November 1952 it was noticed that there was a shortage of 165 pallas and 20 seers of paddy. Further, the Government were also informed that this rice mill was built without any alienation proceedings as required under the law.

1-30 P.M.

When all these things were brought to the notice of the Government, they passed an Order. When I was approached on 15th December 1952, I heard the petitioner Sri Ere Gowda and explained to him how it is very difficult to grant licence in the particular case as prayed for, in view of the fact that he does not conform to the requirements of law. Moreover, the licensing authority is the Director of Food Supplies and he is the proper authority, whom the petitioner has to approach for the grant of a licence. The file was unofficially forwarded to the Director of Food Supplies for disposal according to law. This was the order that I passed. There is a very detailed report from the Deputy Commissioner—I do not want to take the valuable time of this House—wherein it is seen that as much as 6,295 pallas and 1 seer of paddy, which was acquired in that particular area, was stored within the precincts of this rice mill. Subsequently without permit this rice mill owner hulled a particular quantity. Sir, the matter is not yet concluded. I do not want to say anything more. It is before the Director of Food Supplies. The matter is under investigation as to who allowed, how they allowed it and how did they allow them to get any licence. Under these circumstances, I am reluctant to say that the Hon'ble Member made allegations which are not warranted by facts. This Government has no political bias and has no political reasons. The Government has acted in a manner as it ought to act in a legal manner and I should say that the allegations made are unfounded.

QUESTION FOR ANSWER ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Converting the lands under the right bank low level channel fo K.R.S. from dry to permanent wet.

Q.—570. Sri SHIVANANJE GOWDA (Mysore Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the reasons for not yet converting the lands under the right bank low level channel of Krishnarajasagar from dry to permanent wet;

(*b*) whether an order had not been passed during the time of Sri D. K. Darashah, the then Survey Superintendent, to treat as permanent wet lands all the dry lands under irrigation for over five years;

(*c*) whether they had not promised already on the floor of the Representative Assembly four years back that they would convert the said lands into permanent wet;

(*d*) the total amount realised at present per each acre of land by way of dry assessment, water rate, cesses, etc.?

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(*a*) As. irrigation had not fully developed and settled in this tract, the levy of permanent wet rates was reserved for a re-classification of the entire area. Dry assessment and water rate are being recovered. During the Revision Settlement, lands